Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/787,194	SHIRAHATA, JUNYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LXAIIIIIEI	Art Unit		

	Cam N. Nguyen	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply original	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		oduse
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Ilpliant Amendment (i	101-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Cam N Nguyen/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U 05/02/08	nit 1793	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the same reasons as set forth in the Final office action. Further, applicants urged that "Reisinger discloses a catalyst purify CO, VOC, and halogenated organic compound...As such, the reference and the subject matter of the pending claims are directed to specifically differing objectives" is noted. This is not found persuasive because the argument is on the intended use of the claimed catalyst.. While Resinger might be disclosing a different use for his catalyst, the catalyst disclosed is the same as applicants' claimed catalyst. It is the catalytic structure and characteristics of the catalyst determines the patentability of the claimed catalyst and not its intended use limitations. Resinger clearly teaches the claimed catalyst having the claimed catalytic structure of the same catalytic materials as being required in the instant claims. On page 4, paragraph [0054] and [0055], Resinger discloses preparation of Coating Layer by precipitating praseodymium acetate onto ceria/zirconia (contains 70 wt.-% ceria, 30 wt-% zirconia), then stabilized alumina (3-wt% La2O3, 97 wt-% Al2O3) and bulk zirconia was added, and finally the platinum solution was precipitated onto the alumina and ceria/zirconia. After that, this catalyst was supported onto a monolithic carrier to obtain a catalyst. Examiner does not seen any difference between the claimed catalytic structure and the disclosed catalyst obtained by Resinger.